

Nanotechnology to Nanotoxicology: A New Cause for Concern

By Cindy Russell, MD
VP of Community Health, SCCMA

Nanotechnology using ultrafine particles (UFP) has been hailed as the next industrial revolution, but like many other industrial processes such as chemical manufacturing, human toxicity and ecotoxicity are studied well after their release into the environment. Early studies show that some nanoparticles can have significant long-term toxic effects due to their shape, small size, structure, biopersistence, and attachment to the product. These are, unfortunately, the very properties that give nanoparticles their unique functionality.

The first nanoparticles created were thin film in 1974. Carbon soccer ball shapes, called “fullerenes,” were developed in 1986. (41) Since the early 2000s, nanoparticles have found their way into over 1,200 consumer products including electronics, sunscreen, food packaging, and health drinks. They have also found their way into recycled wastewater and farming soil. There is no requirement for labeling of products with nanoparticles. Many government agencies, both in the U.S. and abroad, have concerns about the safety of this technology. To date, there is no organized effort to monitor the chemicals or set responsible regulations for the protection of public health or the environment.

The information in this article is taken from both peer reviewed journals as well as a comprehensive report from the University of San Francisco and California’s Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. (1) It is hoped by many governmental and non-governmental scientists that action will be taken now to identify, monitor, and strictly regulate nanoparticles instead of following the path of our failed chemical policies.

What Is Nanotechnology?

The word nanotechnology is derived from the Greek word “nanos” which means dwarf. Nanotechnology creates and manipulates a new class of materials on the scale of atoms and molecules. As currently defined, these particles are from 1 to 100 nanometers and can only be seen with an electron microscope. One million nanometers equals one millimeter. A flea is 1million nm. A red blood cell is 7,000 nm. A bacteria is 1,000 nanometers. Nano scale particles are close in size to biological molecules such as DNA, proteins, and viruses. Their small size enables nanoparticles to be inhaled or ingested and taken into cells. With transcytosis, they can cross epithelial cells and endothelium entering into blood and lymph circulation. (38)

Nanomaterials can contain one or more nanoparticles in different shapes and can have a metal base such as silver, titanium, or gold. They can also have a complex structure, such as in Quantum dots with a metal core of zinc, cadmium, or lead, and a biologically-friendly outer

shell. Carbon-based nanomaterials can be in the shape of tubes (carbon nanotubes) or in the shape of a ball frame with hundreds of carbon atoms (fullerenes or “buckyballs”). Dendrimers and Polymeric nanomaterials refer to a large range of particles which branch out from a central core, which may be a metal such as gold. Dendrimers are typically highly biologically active or biocompatible. Because their use is highly specialized in pharmaceutical applications, there is a low public-health risk, whereas other nanoproducts in wide consumer use are much more of a concern at this time.

What Makes Nanoparticles So Special?

The small size of nanomaterials with a high surface area affects their electronic, optical, fluorescent, and chemical reactivity. Certain carbon-based nanotubules can behave as semiconductors, like metals. Nanotubes can also be valuable in instrument manufacturing and medicine. Manufactured nanoparticles usually serve as additives or ingredients to existing products such as silver impregnated fabrics, antifogging coating to glass, or paint dispersives. The science of nanoparticles spans every physical discipline. California has three of the five leading centers in nanotechnology in the U.S. which combine academics, research, non-governmental, and industry organizations. Nanotechnology is a rapidly growing big business. It is estimated that by 2014, nanotechnology-enabled products may be worth \$2.9 trillion. (2)

What Consumer Products Contain Nanoparticles?

There are a variety of applications for nanomaterials. In some instances, the particles are “fixed” in the product and thus are less likely to be of concern. In other products, the nanomaterials are free floating and can then disperse in the environment and can be absorbed into living systems.

In electronics, nanoparticles are used in batteries, memory, and display modules. In sporting goods, carbon fibers are an integral part of the structure making tennis rackets and bicycles lighter. Nanoparticles are used in pigments, car coatings, antifog coatings, anti-fingerprint coatings, and on solar panels. In agriculture, nanoparticles are used to make pesticides and fertilizers adhere and persist. In medicine, nanoparticles, such as dendritics, are used for drug delivery, and in cellular regeneration, on various matrices. Silver nanoparticles are used as antibacterial coatings or additives for wound dressings. Nanoparticles are used for imaging and displays in medical devices.

In personal care products, nanoparticles are now widely found in cosmetics. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles are used as a sunscreen to help disperse the product so it is not seen and also confer increased UV protection. These are “non-chemical” sunscreens with titanium dioxide that is “micronized.” Nanoparticles are used as glidants in mineral-based and other makeup, now totally over 160 personal care products.

Nanoparticles are also used in nutraceuticals and as dietary supplements. They claim enhanced absorption and bioavailability of medications and vitamins. (16) Silicone dioxide, magnesium oxide, and titanium dioxide are used to coat confectionary products (Mars Bars) to increase shelf life. (16) Nanoclay polymers mix nylon, polystyrene, polyurethane, and other chemicals, and are now used to coat the interior of beer bottles (Miller Brewing Co. and Hite Brewing Co.). (16)

Nanosilver is the largest material being utilized in household products. It is used in silver non-

stick surfaces and utensils, nanosilver coatings on children's products (i.e., baby bottles, pacifiers, wet wipes, and stuffed animals all claiming antibacterial properties), washing machines, and antibacterial socks, to mention a few. (23) The project for emerging technologies inventories products with advertised nanoparticles. (42) There is no requirement for labeling, thus many more products may contain them.

How Are Nanoparticles Harmful? Size Matters

Studies have shown that nanoparticles elicit different toxic cell responses and target different organs, depending on their size, shape, surface functionality, stability, and reactivity. Nanoparticles can enter the body via inhalation, ingestion, or with dermal exposure through injured and sometimes normal skin. Metal nanoparticles can bioaccumulate in the kidneys or liver. Unlike conventional chemicals, nanoparticles may trigger phagocytosis, whereby the cell membrane surrounds the particle, transports it to the center of the cell in order to break it down. Bacteria and viruses can be destroyed, however, nanoparticles may not be changed with normal biological processes and may accumulate in the cell and cause chronic irritation of the cell to the point of cell death. Nanoparticles can also cross cell membranes via diffusion or adhesion. Inside the cell, they can react with proteins, organelles, and DNA increasing their toxic potential. (26) Like some chemicals, they cannot be broken down, thus persist in the body.

Scientists studying the mechanisms of action of toxins state the simple dose response curve does not always apply. Cell death after exposure to a toxin is a very complex interaction. The chemical or material may directly injure the cellular processes, but may also interfere with the immune system and tumor surveillance, thus causing cancer from long term exposure. Another method of cell death is called apoptosis. Some call this cell suicide. It is a natural and programmed cell death found in normal development and initiated by the cell itself. Recent studies have shown that a variety of environmental contaminants, including heavy metals (copper, cadmium, mercury, lead), can cause apoptotic cell death. (39)

Engineered nanoparticles have been shown to induce apoptotic cell death of macrophages after inhalation of single-walled nanotubes. (39) There is growing concern, as neurodegeneration is seen in some nanoparticle animal studies.(43)

Nanoparticle Effects on the Lungs

We know that exposure to complex mixtures of air pollutants produces inflammation in the upper and lower respiratory tract. Interest has risen in recent years with regards to the potential effects of ultrafine particles on pulmonary function. Nanoparticles have a much higher inflammatory potential than larger particles. When inhaled, they are efficiently deposited in all regions of the respiratory tract and they can translocate out of the respiratory tract to other parts of the body as well. (36)(38)

Inhalation studies demonstrate that these smaller particles create oxidative stress, free radical formation, inflammation in cell culture, and in vivo in the lung. (26)(27)(28)(29)(30)(31)(32) Titanium dioxide nanoparticles have been shown to induce inflammation in the lungs in animal studies. (51)

Carbon nanotubes have toxicologically significant structural and chemical similarities to asbestos. Multiple inhalation and injection studies have shown that carbon nanotubes act like the long fibers of asbestos and get stuck in the pleural lining causing pulmonary inflammation, granuloma formation, and fibrosis, which like asbestos could lead to mesothelioma. (33)(34)(35)(49)(50)(57) All these studies point to a potential for increased lung disease in populations already facing rising chronic pulmonary disease from chemical and air pollution.

Intestinal Absorption of Nanoparticles

Particulate uptake across the intestinal cells and into the bloodstream has been well documented since 1926. (58) Ingested nanoparticles are absorbed, depending on morphology, and charge through or around normal intestinal cells or through Peyer's patches (PP). Peyer's patches are aggregates of lymphoid tissue in the small intestine which are responsible for immune surveillance and response. Particulates, once in the sub-mucosal tissue, are able to enter both lymphatic and capillaries to other organs. (58)(59)(60) Jani found increased uptake with smaller diameter. Nanosized polystyrene particles were much better absorbed than larger particles. (61)(62) The GI tract is an efficient delivery system for vaccines and drugs, thus is well studied.

An increasing route of exposure to nanoparticles is through consumer products, food additives, packaging, and drugs. "For those nanoparticles designed to stabilize food or to deliver drug via intestinal uptake, other, more demanding rules exist and should be followed before marketing these compounds." Dr. Hoet (55)

Nanoparticles: Destination Brain

We have known for years that air pollution causes chronic lung disease. But recent studies now show brain damage from air pollution. Calderon-Gardcuidenas, et al., found significant inflammatory neurodegenerative changes in the olfactory bulbs, olfactory mucosa, and cortical and subcortical brain structures in dogs from a heavily polluted area in Mexico City, whereas these changes were not seen in a less polluted rural control city. (52) As it turns out, the nasal cavity, olfactory bulb, and respiratory epithelia are a common portal of entry to the brain and targets for toxicological damage. (36) This circumvents the very tight blood brain barrier. Oberdörster, in 2002, reported the translocation of inhaled nanoparticles via the olfactory nerves. (38) A translocation pathway from the respiratory tract to the brain was demonstrated over 60 years ago for polio viruses. Herpes virus travels long distance in a similar pattern along the axon. Transport velocity for nanoparticles in nerve axoplasm has been shown to be 2.4mm/hour. (36)

A Japanese study, in 2009, showed that titanium dioxide nanoparticles could transfer from pregnant mice to their offspring and cause nervous system damage and reduced sperm production in the male offspring. (44) Sárközi, in 2009, instilled manganese nanoparticles into the airways of adult rats and found that manganese had access from the airways to the brain with resulting behavioral, electrophysiologic, and toxicologic effects. (45) In vivo studies of fish indicate that nanoparticles already in use can have adverse effects on wildlife. Oberdorster studied carbon based lipophilic fullerenes, which are now being manufactured by the tons and used in cosmetics and face creams. (65) He found oxidative brain damage in large mouth bass. (46) The cumulative effects of these increasing exposures are unknown. (68)

Trickler, in 2010, studied silver nanoparticles effects on rat brain. He found inflammation and an increase in the blood brain barrier with smaller nanoparticles. He states that “if left unchecked, these events may further induce brain inflammation and neurotoxicity.”(67) Wang found that titanium dioxide nanoparticles instilled intranasally directly entered the brain through the olfactory bulb in the whole exposure period, and deposited more heavily in the hippocampus region where spatial navigation and both short term and long term memory are located. Toxicity was seen via oxidative damage leading to an inflammatory response. (86)

There is great cause for concern as more research indicates that inflammation of the brain can directly cause Alzheimer’s disease.(66)

Reactive Oxygen Species and Cardiovascular Effects of Nanoparticles

Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) are highly reactive chemical molecules in living organisms implicated in many disease states including aging, DNA damage, brain dysfunction, cell damage, organism stress, inflammation, Alzheimer’s disease, to name a few. Reactive Oxygen Species are the normal byproduct of metabolism and function to signal cells for apoptosis (cell death), immune stimulation, and platelet aggregation. Chemicals and heavy metals can increase ROS in our bodies. Our bodies make natural antioxidants to combat free radical formation (ROS). These are chemicals like glutathione and enzymes such as superoxide dismutase that scavenge the free radical before much harm can be done. If there is excessive ROS, these free radicals damage cellular DNA, oxidize proteins, inactivate enzymes, signal inflammation, or cell death. Oxidative stress is believed to be one of the major deleterious consequences of exposure to nanomaterials. (29)(30)(56)(40)

Radomski, in 2005, showed that some carbon nanoparticles and microparticles have the ability to activate platelets and enhance vascular thrombosis. (48) Other studies have shown similar effects. (75)

Lifecycle of Nanoparticles : Entrance Into the Soil and Water Cycle

What is the fate of nanoparticles in our sunscreen, powdered makeup, microbe proof teddy bear, or silver impregnated socks once they are washed down the drain? The solids that go to the treatment plant are put on agricultural fields as fertilizer and the liquid is used for irrigation in landscaping and agriculture, with the rest pumped into local rivers or bays. Soon, we will be drinking this reclaimed water and paying a lot more for it, as we are approaching serious water shortages.

Will we be able to remove nanoparticles in sewage treatment? Good question, considering we are not removing many persistent toxic chemicals now such as flame retardants, pharmaceuticals, estrogenic synthetic compounds from primary wastewater treatment, which are effecting fish and other aquatic organisms. (89)(90)(91)(92)(93)(94)(95)(96)(97)(98)(99)(100)(101) We have yet to control known toxins in the environment, as we add newer emerging contaminants to the list. Studies have shown that nanoized copper causes acute toxicity and gill injury in Zebrafish. (82) Silver particles are known to be toxic to freshwater fish and have now become a major pollutant in San Francisco Bay and other surface waters from wastewater discharge. (85) (5)(6)(7)(8)(9) Nanoparticles are already in our soil and wastewater.

Beneficial Bacteria at Risk: Antibacterials Gone Awry

While we need antibacterial products in medicine, too much of a good thing can cause disruption in healthy ecosystems including our gastrointestinal tract. Studies have now shown that *E. Coli* bacteria strains were greatly inhibited by even small amounts of titanium dioxide nanoparticles. Most of these are beneficial friendly bacteria that keep the gut healthy by preventing establishment of pathogenic bacteria and producing vitamin K.

Titanium dioxide particles have been considered non-toxic, as they do not incite a chemical reaction. Nanoparticles of titanium, however, interact with living organisms in a much different way. They can travel through the body and cause oxidative stress. Schiestl exposed mice to titanium dioxide in their drinking water. By the fifth day, they began to show genetic damage with double stranded DNA breaks and signs of inflammation. (76)

Silver has broad spectrum antimicrobial activity towards many pathogens and it has been used in the past for medicinal purposes. The bactericidal activity of silver, however, inhibits soil microbial growth at levels below the concentrations of other heavy metals. (77)

The antimicrobial effects of silver nanoparticles also have impacts at the ecosystem level affecting beneficial soil organisms (bacteria and fungi) that “feed” nutrients to plants. Researchers grew plants in biosolids with and without the addition of ecologically relevant silver nanoparticles. These levels of silver nanoparticles were within the range that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency reported finding in a recent survey of biosolids from water treatment plants. The nanoparticles reduced the growth of one of the tested plant species by 22 % compared to silver-free biosolid treatment. Similarly, microbial biomass was reduced by 20%. (80) Considering nanoparticles do not degrade, are biologically active, and bioaccumulate, this has serious implications for the future of agriculture. Canada, in 2010, joined several other countries banning nanotechnology as a prohibited substance or method in organic food production. (81)

Hijacking Wastewater Treatment

Sewage treatment is a several step process of removing contaminants from wastewater prior to discharge into local waterways or for non-potable uses. In southern California, sewage effluent is used as drinking water after additional treatments. Usually, there are three steps. Primary treatment involves separating solids from liquids. Secondary treatment involves biological degradation of the suspended organic matter in the effluent by microorganisms. Tertiary treatment occurs when additives are used to clean the water if discharged into a sensitive ecosystem or if used for non-potable uses, such as golf courses.

Nanoparticles have been shown to inhibit bacteria that are used to help degrade the organic matter in sewage treatment plants. (88)(89) Preliminary studies to evaluate removal of nanoparticles in wastewater show that it is not as easy as predicted. (83)(84) Dr. Limbach states “results indicate a limited capability of the biological treatment step to completely remove oxide nanoparticles from wastewater.” (83)

Next Steps in Growing a Sustainable Nanotechnology Industry

While there has been an avalanche of research and development in commercial nanotechnology,

there has been a sharply contrasted lack of data with regards to human and environmental safety testing. The emerging science of nanotoxicology has identified some real concerns for some nanoparticles with regards to public health and the environment, including wildlife, fragile aquatic, and soil ecosystems.

“The current state of oversight regimes should raise serious concerns for policymakers tasked with the challenge of encouraging nanotechnology innovation in a responsible and sustainable manner,” says David Rejeski, Director, Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies, Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars.

Many government and non-governmental organizations have written extensive reports with regards to the concerns of nanotechnology and its oversight.

The conclusion of these reports is that there is inadequate data on toxicology of these diverse particles, exposure data, and biomonitoring, as well as a lack of adequate regulation.

A comprehensive 2011 report by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment Cal/EPA and the University of California San Francisco titled “Recommendations for Addressing Potential Health Risks From Nanomaterials” discusses these issues, and specific goals for government agencies were suggested. (1) Many lessons have been learned about chemical contamination too late. It is hoped that earlier action will prevent major public and environmental health problems. Below are some policy recommendations from the report.

UCSF-OEHHA Recommendations for Addressing Potential Health Risks From Nanomaterials

- 1) Traditional mass-based dose models may not be sufficient to characterize toxicity. New traits or properties will need to be defined and considered.
- 2) Heeding early warnings and using environmental monitoring is integral to identifying, evaluating, and monitoring potential hazards.
- 3) Persistent and/or bioaccumulative materials should be identified early, as build-up of exogenous chemicals are usually detrimental in some way.
- 4) Targeted research in the area of biological transport and distribution of nanomaterials, including sources, routes of contact, and internal distributions. Integrate this with the information gathered on exposure potential.
- 5) Require sufficient toxicological testing information to assess safety of risks to consumers, including susceptible subpopulations such as infants preferable premarket, and post-market as necessary.
- 6) Require testing of release and exposure potential for nanomaterials in consumer products that have widespread use, such as titanium dioxide, silver nanoparticles, and carbon nanotubes. Testing must be completed for products to remain on the market.

- 7) Collect information on fate and transport of nanomaterials, including monitoring in environmental and biological media. Require centralized reporting mechanisms, and maintain them in a systematic manner.
- 8) Susceptible sub-populations should be characterized in risk assessment and considered in decision-making.
- 9) Implement a labeling system that requires labeling products that contain nanomaterials.
- 10) Support a publicly accessible clearinghouse and inventory of products and sources of nanomaterials, requiring disclosure of where nanomaterials are manufactured, in what quantities, and for what new or existing products such as through product labeling.
- 11) Develop a framework for making policy and regulatory decisions based on nanomaterials' use, exposure potential, and exposure to susceptible subpopulations, while weighing public health or societal benefit.
- 12) Integrate nanomaterial safe handling practices into standard lab safety training for academic, industrial, and other laboratory workers and students.
- 13) Continue to include provisions for public input and comment during the decision-making processes.

Nanoparticle Nanotoxicity References

- 1) **Recommendations for Addressing Potential Health Risks From Nanomaterials in California.** Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and University of California San Francisco, Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences. June 2011. <http://www.prhe.ucsf.edu/prhe/nanodocument.html>
- 2) Davies, J., *EPA and Nanotechnology: Oversight for the 21st Century*. 2007, Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies, Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars: Washington DC. p. 76.
- 3) **Toxicity and cellular responses of intestinal cells exposed to titanium dioxide.** Koeneman BA. *Cell Biol Toxicol*. 2010 Jun;26(3):225-38. Epub 2009 Jul 18. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19618281>
- 4) **Sunscreens With Titanium Dioxide (TiO₂) Nano-Particles: A Societal Experiment.** Jacobs JF, van de Poel I, Osseweijer P. *Nanoethics*. 2010 Aug;4(2):103-113. Epub 2010 Jun 2. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20835397>
- 5) **Toxicity and bioaccumulation of TiO₂ nanoparticle aggregates in Daphnia magna.** Zhu X, Chang Y, Chen Y. *Chemosphere*. 2010 Jan;78(3):209-15. Epub 2009 Dec 5. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19963236>
- 6) **Comparison of acute and chronic toxicity of silver nanoparticles and silver nitrate to Daphnia magna.** Zhao CM, Wang WX. *Environ Toxicol Chem*. 2011 Apr;30(4):885-92. doi: 10.1002/etc.451. Epub 2011 Feb 8. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21191880>
- 7) **Ecotoxicity of engineered nanoparticles to aquatic invertebrates: a brief review and recommendations for future toxicity testing.** Baun A. *Ecotoxicology*. 2008 Jul;17(5):387-95. Epub 2008 Apr 1. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18425578>
- 8) **Biological surface coating and molting inhibition as mechanisms of TiO₂ nanoparticle toxicity in Daphnia**

- magna. Dabrunz A. 2011;6(5):e20112. Epub 2011 May 27. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21647422>
- 9) **Ecotoxic effect of photocatalytic active nanoparticles (TiO₂) on algae and daphnids.** Hund-Rinke K, Simon M. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2006 Jul;13(4):225-32. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16910119>
- 10) **Oral Fast-Release Solid Dispersion-Paradigm Shift to Nanoparticles.** Wong TW. Recent Pat Drug Deliv Formul. 2011 Aug 12. [Epub ahead of print. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21834774>
- 11) **Nanoparticles in dermatology.** Papakostas D. Arch Dermatol Res. 2011 Aug 12. [Epub ahead of print]. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21837474>
- 12) **Solid lipid nanoparticles: an oral bioavailability enhancer vehicle.** Harde H. Expert Opin Drug Deliv. 2011 Aug 11. [Epub ahead of print]. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21831007>
- 13) **Nanoparticles in dietary supplements cause health concerns, regulatory challenges.** Science Centric | 10 February 2009 00:10 GMT. <http://www.sciencecentric.com/news/09021022-nanoparticles-dietary-supplements-cause-health-concerns-regulatory-challenges.html>
- 14) **Nanoparticles In Dietary Supplements Cause Health Concerns, Regulatory Challenges.** *ScienceDaily* (Feb. 10, 2009)<http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2009/02/090209075633.htm>
- 15) **Nanotechnologies in Food** By Qasim Chaudhry, Laurence Castle, Richard Watkins<http://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=vre-BtFxH2sC&oi=fnd&pg=PA120&dq=nanoparticles+in+food+preservatives&ots=7k1zD-1mZ0&sig=GTINIVrM9rIpSrL7QFeA4M9h9Vw#v=onepage&q=nanoparticles%20in%20food%20preservatives&f=false>
- 16) **Applications and implications of nanotechnologies for the food sector.** Food Additives and Contaminants. 8-Aug-2007. http://peer.ccsd.cnrs.fr/docs/00/57/74/29/PDF/PEER_stage2_10.1080%252F02652030701744538.pdf
- 17) **Food storage material silver nanoparticles interfere with DNA replication fidelity and bind with DNA.** Yang W. Nanotechnology. 2009 Feb 25;20(8):085102. Epub 2009 Feb 2. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19417438>
- 18) **Biological effects induced by nanosilver particles: in vivo study.** Chen D, Xi T, Bai J. Biomed Mater. 2007 Sep;2(3):S126-8. Epub 2007 Jul 30. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18458456>
- 19) **Killer paper for next-generation food packaging.** Sonochemical Coating of Paper by Microbiocidal Silver Nanoparticles” Langmuir. http://portal.acs.org:80/portal/acs/corg/content?_nfpb=true&_pageLabel=PP_ARTICLE_MAIN&node_id=223&content_id=CNBP_026502&use_sec=true&sec_url_var=region1&__uuid=69caea53-12bb-4818-bd3d-ab104169089e
- 20) **Nanoparticles kill friendly soil bacteria.** <http://www.cbc.ca/news/technology/story/2011/04/07/science-silver-nanoparticles-bacteria-arctic.html>
- 21) **Nanotechnology: Small matter, many unknowns**
http://www.asse.org/nanotechnology/pdfs/govupdate_02-3-05_nanosafety.pdf
- 22) **Nanoparticles in Childrens Products.** http://www.foe.org/sites/default/files/Nano-silverReport_US.pdf
- 23) **Nanosilver disinfects — but at what price?** Sunday, November 30th, 2008http://www.sciencenews.org/view/generic/id/38913/title/Nanosilver_disinfects___but_at_what_price%3F
- 24) **Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies, Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars.** CPSC FY2010 Agenda and Priorities. http://www.nanotechproject.org/process/assets/files/8278/pen_submission_cpsc.pdf
- 25) **Nanotech Safety Needs Specific Government Risk Research Strategy and Funding.** Jan 04, 2007 <http://www.wilsoncenter.org/article/nanotech-safety-needs-specific-government-risk-research-strategy-and-funding>
- 26) **Ultrafine Particles Cross Cellular Membranes by Nonphagocytic Mechanisms in Lungs and in Cultured**

Cells. Marianne Geise. Environ Health Perspect. 2005 November; 113(11): 1555–1560. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1310918/>

27) **A review of carbon nanotube toxicity and assessment of potential occupational and environmental health risks.** Lam CW. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2006 Mar;36(3):189-

217. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=review%20of%20carbon%20nanotube%20toxicity%20assessment%20%20potential%20occupational%20lam>

28) **Pulmonary toxicity of single-wall carbon nanotubes in mice 7 and 90 days after intratracheal instillation.** Lam CW. Toxicol Sci. 2004 Jan;77(1):126-34. Epub 2003 Sep

26. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14514958>

29) **Raw single-wall carbon nanotubes induce oxidative stress and activate MAPKs, AP-1, NF-kappaB, and Akt in normal and malignant human mesothelial cells.** Pacurari M, Yin XJ, Zhao J. Environ Health Perspect. 2008 Sep;116(9):1211-7. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=raw%20single-wall%20carbon%20nanotubes%20oxidative%20stress%20%20pacurari>

30) **The pro-inflammatory effects of low-toxicity low-solubility particles, nanoparticles and fine particles, on epithelial cells in vitro: the role of surface area.** Monteiller C, Tran L, MacNee W. Occup Environ Med. 2007 Sep;64(9):609-15. Epub 2007 Apr 4 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=pro-inflammatory%20effects%20of%20low%20toxicity%20low%20solubility%20particles%20C%20nanoparticles%20monteiller>

31) **Nanoparticles as a potential cause of pleural and interstitial lung disease.** Bonner JC. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2010 May;7(2):138-

41. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=nanoparticles%20as%20potential%20cause%20pleural%20interstitial%20lung%20disease%20bonner>

32) **Health effects of exposure to carbon nanofibers: systematic review, critical appraisal, meta analysis and research to practice perspectives.** Genaidy A, Tolaymat T, Sequeira R, Rinder M, Dionysiou D. Sci Total Environ. 2009 Jun 1;407(12):3686-701. Epub 2009 Mar

2. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=genaidy%20health%20effects%20carbon%20nanofibers%20review>

33) **Carbon nanotubes introduced into the abdominal cavity of mice show asbestos-like pathogenicity in a pilot study.** Poland CA. Nat Nanotechnol. 2008 Jul;3(7):423-8. Epub 2008 May

20. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=poland%20%20carbon%20nanotubule%20introduced%20abdominal%20cavity%20asbestos>

34) **Asbestos, carbon nanotubes and the pleural mesothelium: a review of the hypothesis regarding the role of long fibre retention in the parietal pleura, inflammation and mesothelioma.** Donaldson K. Part Fibre Toxicol. 2010 Mar 22;7:5. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20307263>

35) **Length-dependent retention of carbon nanotubes in the pleural space of mice initiates sustained inflammation and progressive fibrosis on the parietal pleura.** Murphy FA, Poland CA, Duffin R, Al-Jamal

KT. Am J Pathol. 2011 Jun;178(6):2587-600. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21641383>

36) **Nanotoxicology: An Emerging Discipline Evolving from Studies of Ultrafine Particles.** Günter Oberdörster,1 Eva Oberdörster,2 and Jan Oberdörster3. Environ Health Perspect. 2005 July; 113(7): 823–839. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1257642/>

37) **Translocation of Inhaled Ultrafine Particles to the Brain.** G. Oberdörster, Z. Sharp, V. Atudorei, A. Elder, R. Gelein, W. Kreyling and C. Cox. 2004, Vol. 16, No. 6-7, Pages 437-

445. <http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08958370490439597>

38) **Extrapulmonary Translocation of Ultrafine Carbon Particles Following Whole-Body Inhalation Exposure of Rats.** Günter Oberdörster. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A, 65:1531–1543, 2002. http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00984100290071658?url_ver=Z39.88-

2003&rfr_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub%3dpubmed

39) **Cell Death Mechanisms and Their Implications in Toxicology.** Sten Orrenius, Pierluigi Nicotera and Boris Zhivotovsky. *Toxicological Sciences*, Volume 119, Issue 1 Pp. 3-

1. <http://toxsci.oxfordjournals.org/content/119/1/3.full>

40) **Close encounters of the small kind: adverse effects of man-made materials interfacing with the nanocosmos of biological systems.** Shvedova AA, Kagan VE, Fadeel B. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2010;50:63-88. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20055698?dopt=Abstract>

41) **History of nanotechnology** http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_nanotechnology

42) **The Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies. Companies participating in nanotechnology.** <http://www.nanotechproject.org/inventories/consumer/>

43) **Distribution, translocation and accumulation of silver nanoparticles in rats.** Tang J. *Nanosci Nanotechnol.* 2009 Aug;9(8):4924-

32. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=nanoparticles%20neuronal%20degeneration%20tang%202009>

44) **Maternal exposure to nanoparticulate titanium dioxide during the prenatal period alters gene expression related to brain development in the mouse.** Shimizu M, Tainaka H, Oba T, Mizuo K, Umezawa M, Takeda K. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* 2009 Jul 29;6:20. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2726979/?tool=pubmed>

45) **Subacute intratracheal exposure of rats to manganese nanoparticles: behavioral, electrophysiological, and general toxicological effects.** Sárközi L. *Inhal Toxicol.* 2009 Jul;21 Suppl 1:83-9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19558238>

46) **Manufactured nanomaterials (fullerenes, C60) induce oxidative stress in the brain of juvenile largemouth bass.** Oberdörster E. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2004 Jul;112(10):1058-62. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=oberdorster%20manufactured%20nanoparticles%20oxidative%20stress%20environmental%20health%20perspectives>

47) **Health effects of exposure to carbon nanofibers: systematic review, critical appraisal, meta analysis and research to practice perspectives.** Genaidy A, Tolaymat T, Sequeira R, Rinder M, Dionysiou D. *Sci Total Environ.* 2009 Jun 1;407(12):3686-701. Epub 2009 Mar
2. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=genaidy%20health%20effects%20carbon%20nanofibers%20review>

48) **Nanoparticle-induced platelet aggregation and vascular thrombosis.** Radomski A, Jurasz P, Alonso-Escolano D, Drews M, Morandi M, Malinski T, Radomski MW. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2005 Nov;146(6):882-93. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=british%20journal%20of%20pharmacology%20radomski%20platelet%20aggregation%20nanoparticles>

49) **Unusual inflammatory and fibrogenic pulmonary responses to single-walled carbon nanotubes in mice.** Shvedova AA. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* 2005 Nov;289(5):L698-708. Epub 2005 Jun 10. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=shedova%20%20single%20walled%20carbon%20nanotubes%20unusual%20inflammatory>

50) **Comparative proteomics and pulmonary toxicity of instilled single-walled carbon nanotubes, crocidolite asbestos, and ultrafine carbon black in mice.** Teeguarden JG. *Toxicol Sci.* 2011 Mar;120(1):123-35. Epub 2010 Dec 6. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21135415>

51) **Acute pulmonary effects of ultrafine particles in rats and mice.** Oberdörster G, *Res Rep Health Eff Inst.* 2000 Aug;(96):5-74; disc. 75-86. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11205815>

52) **Air pollution and brain damage.** Calderón-Garcidueñas L. *Toxicol Pathol.* 2002 May-Jun;30(3):373-89. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=calderon%20air%20pollution%20andbrain%20damage%202002>

- 53) **Destination Brain. Northwest Parkinson's Foundation** <http://www.nwpcf.org/News.aspx?Item=3340>
- 54) **Nanoparticles and Colloids as Contributing Factors in Neurodegenerative Disease.** Stephen C. Bondy . Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2011 June; 8(6): 2200–2211. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3138021/>
- 55) **Nanoparticles – known and unknown health risks** Peter HM Hoet¹, Irene Brüske-Hohlfeld² and Oleg V Salata^{3*} *Journal of Nanobiotechnology* 2004, 2:12 <http://www.jnanobiotechnology.com/content/2/1/12>
- 56) **Pulmonary effects of inhaled ultrafine particles.** Oberdörster G. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2001 Jan;74(1):1-8. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez/11196075?dopt=Abstract&holding=f1000,f1000m,isrcn>
- 57) **Carcinogenicity of fibrous glass: pleural response in the rat in relation to fiber dimension.** Stanton MF, Laynard M, Tegeris A, Miller E, May M, Kent E. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1977 Mar;58(3):587-60. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez/839555?dopt=Abstract&holding=f1000,f1000m,isrcn>
- 58) **Transcytosis of nanoparticle and dendrimer delivery systems: evolving vistas.** Florence AT, Hussain N: *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2001, 50:S69-S8. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169409X01001843>
- 59) **Recent advances in the understanding of uptake of microparticulates across the gastrointestinal lymphatics.** Hussain N, Jaitley V, Florence AT: *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2001, 50:107-142. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez/11489336?dopt=Abstract&holding=f1000,f1000m,isrcn>
- 60) **Particle uptake by Peyer's patches: a pathway for drug and vaccine delivery.** Shakweh M. Expert Opin Drug Deliv. 2004 Nov;1(1):141-63. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16296726>
- 61) **Nanoparticle uptake by the rat gastrointestinal mucosa: quantitation and particle size dependency.** Jani P, Halbert GW, Langridge J, Florence AT. J Pharm Pharmacol. 1990 Dec;42(12):821-6. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=jani%20nanoparticle%20uptake%20gastrointestinal%201990>
- 62) **Factors affecting the oral uptake and translocation of polystyrene nanoparticles: histological and analytical evidence.** Florence AT, Hillery AM, Hussain N, Jani PU. J Drug Target. 1995;3(1):65-70. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=Jani%20titanium%20particle%20>
- 63) **Nanoparticles and the brain: cause for concern?** Oberdörster G, Elder A, Rinderknecht A. J Nanosci Nanotechnol. 2009 Aug;9(8):4996-5007. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19928180>
- 64) **Nanotechnology in consumer products.** <http://www.nanoforum.org/dateien/temp/Nanotechnology%20in%20Consumer%20Products.pdf?23012007110310>
- 65) **Fullerene for the Face Cosmetics containing C60 nanoparticles are entering the market, even if their safety is unclear** <http://pubs.acs.org/cen/science/84/8413sci3.html>
- 66) **Inflammation and Alzheimer's disease.** Akiyama H. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2000 May-Jun;21(3):383-421. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10858586>
- 67) **Silver nanoparticle induced blood-brain barrier inflammation and increased permeability in primary rat brain microvessel endothelial cells.** Trickler WJ. *Toxicol Sci*. 2010 Nov;118(1):160-70. Epub 2010 Aug 16. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20713472>
- 68) **Nanosized titanium dioxide enhanced inflammatory responses in the septic brain of mouse.** Shin JA. *Neuroscience*. 2010 Jan 20;165(2):445-54. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19892005>
- 69) **Time-dependent translocation and potential impairment on central nervous system by intranasally instilled TiO(2) nanoparticles.** Wang J. *Toxicology*. 2008 Dec 5;254(1-2):82-90. Epub 2008 Sep

25. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18929619>

70) **Iron oxide nanoparticles induce human microvascular endothelial cell permeability through reactive oxygen species production and microtubule remodeling.** Apopa PL. Part Fibre Toxicol. 2009 Jan 9;6. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19134195>

71) **Nanoparticles and the brain: cause for concern?** Oberdörster G. J Nanosci Nanotechnol. 2009 Aug;9(8):4996-5007. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=oberdorster%20nanoparticles%20and%20the%20brain%3A%20Cause%20for%20concern%3F%202009>

72) **Evaluation of hepatic antioxidant systems after intravenous administration of polymeric nanoparticles.** Fernández-Urrusuno R. Biomaterials. 1997 Mar;18(6):511-7. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez/9111956?dopt=Abstract&holding=f1000,f1000m,isrctn>

73) **Respiratory toxicity of carbon nanotubes: How worried should we be?** Julie Muller. Carbon. Volume 44, Issue 6, May 2006, Pages 1048-1056. Toxicology of Carbon Nanomaterial. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0008622305006056>

74) **Do Nanoparticles in Food Pose a Health Risk?** <http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=do-nanoparticles-in-food-pose-health-risk>

75) **Endothelial dysfunction and inflammation induced by iron oxide nanoparticle exposure: Risk factors for early atherosclerosis.** Zhu MT. Toxicol Lett. 2011 Jun 10;203(2):162-71. Epub 2011 Mar 2. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21439359>

76) **Titanium dioxide nanoparticles induce DNA damage and genetic instability in vivo in mice.** Trouiller B. Cancer Res. 2009 Nov 15;69(22):8784-9. Epub 2009 Nov 3. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=schiestl%20nanoparticles%20titanium%20dioxide%20mice%20drinking%20water>

77) **Effects of Pb, Cu, Sb, In and Ag contamination on the proliferation of soil bacterial colonies, soil dehydrogenase activity, and phospholipid fatty acid profiles of soil microbial communities.** Murata T, Kanakoshikawa M, Takamatsu T: Water, Air Soil Pollut. 164:103–118, 2005

78) **Silver or silver nanoparticles: a hazardous threat to the environment and human health?** Nagender Reddy Panyala. J. Appl. Biomed. 6: 117–129, 2008. <http://www.kolumber.com/silver.pdf>

79) **Physiology and modelling of mechanisms of silver uptake and toxicity in fish .** Wood CM, Playle RC, Hogstrand C. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 18:71–83, 1999.

80) **Silver Beware: Antimicrobial Nanoparticles in Soil May Harm Plant Life** <http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=silver-beware-antimicrobial-nanoparticles-in-soil-may-harm-plant-life>

81) **Canada Joins Ban on Nano in Organics.** Nonotechnology Now, June 7, 2010 http://www.nanotech-now.com/news.cgi?story_id=38555

82) **Exposure to Copper Nanoparticles Causes Gill Injury and Acute Lethality in Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*).** Robert J. Griffitt. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2007, 41 (23), pp 8178–8186. <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es071235e>

83) **Removal of Oxide Nanoparticles in a Model Wastewater Treatment Plant: Influence of Agglomeration and Surfactants on Clearing Efficiency.** LUDWIG K. LIMBACH. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 42, 5828–5833. <http://sludgenews.org/resources/documents/Limbach.pdf>

84) **Silver Nanoparticles May Be Killing Beneficial Bacteria In Wastewater Treatment.** *ScienceDaily* (Apr. 30, 2008). <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2008/04/080429135502.htm>

85) **Nano and Biocidal Silver: Extreme Germ Killers Present a Growing Threat to Public Health.** http://www.foe.org/sites/default/files/Nano-silverReport_US.pdf

86) **Time-dependent translocation and potential impairment on central nervous system by intranasally instilled TiO₂ nanoparticles.** Wang J. *Toxicology*. 2008 Dec 5;254(1-2):82-90. Epub 2008 Sep 25. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18929619>

87) **Biosolids: Targeted National Sewage Sludge Survey Report – Overview 2009** <http://water.epa.gov/scitech/wastetech/biosolids/tnsss-overview.cfm>

88) **The inhibitory effects of silver nanoparticles, silver ions, and silver chloride colloids on microbial growth.** Okkyoung Choia. *Water Research*. Volume 42, Issue 12, June 2008, Pages 3066-3074. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0043135408000961>

89) **Engineered nanoparticles in wastewater and wastewater sludge – Evidence and impacts.** Satinder K. Brara. *Waste Management*. Volume 30, Issue 3, March 2010, Pages 504-520. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0956053X09004607>

90) **Analysis of organic compounds in an urban wastewater treatment plant effluent.** Navalon S. *Environ Technol*. 2011 Feb-Mar;32(3-4):295-306. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21780698>

91) **Quaternary ammonium compounds in urban estuarine sediment environments--a class of contaminants in need of increased attention?** Li X, Brownawell BJ. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2010 Oct 1;44(19):7561-8. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20804121>

92) **High levels of perfluorochemicals in Taiwan's wastewater treatment plants and downstream rivers pose great risk to local aquatic ecosystems.** Lin AY, Panchangam SC, Ciou PS. *Chemosphere*. 2010 Aug;80(10):1167-7. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20643472>

93) **Perfluorinated surfactants in surface and drinking waters.** Skutlarek D, Exner M, Färber H. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2006 Sep;13(5):299-307. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17067024>

94) **Fate of antibiotics during municipal water recycling treatment processes.** Le-Minh N. *Water Res*. 2010 Aug;44(15):4295-323. Epub 2010 Jun 15. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20619433>

95) **Persistence of pharmaceutical compounds and other organic wastewater contaminants in a conventional drinking-water-treatment plant.** Stackelberg PE. *Sci Total Environ*. 2004 Aug 15;329(1-3):99-113. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15262161>

96) **Pharmaceuticals, hormones, and other organic wastewater contaminants in U.S. streams, 1999-2000: a national reconnaissance.** Kolpin, DW, *Environ Sci Technol*. 2002 Mar 15;36(6):1202-11. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11944670>

97) **Removal of antibiotics in conventional and advanced wastewater treatment: implications for environmental discharge and wastewater recycling.** Watkinson AJ. *Water Res*. 2007 Oct;41(18):4164-76. Epub 2007 May 23. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17524445>

98) **Effects of biologically-active chemical mixtures on fish in a wastewater-impacted urban stream.** Barber LB. *Sci Total Environ*. 2011 Aug 15. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21849205>

99) **Mixtures of estrogenic contaminants in bile of fish exposed to wastewater treatment works effluents.** Gibson R. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2005 Apr 15;39(8):2461-

71. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15884336>

100) Biogenic palladium enhances diatrizoate removal from hospital wastewater in a microbial electrolysis cell. De Gusseme B. Environ Sci Technol. 2011 Jul 1;45(13):5737-45. Epub 2011 Jun 10.<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21663047>

101) Simulated environmental risk estimation of engineered nanomaterials: A case of cosmetics in Johannesburg City. Musee N. Hum Exp Toxicol. 2010 Dec 9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21148195>